

City and County of San Francisco
Recreation and Parks Department
Failing Playgrounds Task Force
Meeting #4 NOTES
Wednesday July 9, 5:30 – 7:30 PM
City Hall, Room 278

AGENDA ITEM 1: Welcome & Review

- Tonight we will begin process of prioritizing criteria and applying them to create multiple alternative tiered lists
- Then at the next two meetings, we will develop and refine these alternatives until we have a single recommended list.

AGENDA ITEM 2: Review of Analysis by Criteria

Discussion of Information using Tableau Program

- One tab shows rank and priority based on ROSE (Recreation Open Space Element of General Plan) High Needs definition: Incorporates youth density, senior density, median household income
 - CPA looked at through quarter-mile buffer
 - Rank is where they are in relation to each other as distinct from their absolute score
 - The numbers used for Ranking and Scores are inverse of each other: the number 1 ranked CPA, meaning the one that has the highest need, gets a score of 5. This is so when you add up scores the highest score signifies the highest priority.
- Another tab shows CPAs by the relative presence of CCA Wood: Copper Chromate Arsenic treated wood
- Another tab shows CPAs by Report Card Score, based on the most recent scores, 2014 or 2012 depending on if the CPA was reassessed
- The last tab shows CPAs by Proximity- how close playgrounds are to recently renovated or un-renovated playgrounds
 - Rank of 1 here means no other playgrounds close by
 - Ranked proximity on raw data rather than on 1-5 scale
 - Counts on Legend tabs show how many playgrounds there are under each category

Now we have added the balance of the Task Force's priority criteria to the Tableau page:

- Household Median Income - also comes from the Planning Dept & ROSE
 - Did same type of analysis within quarter-mile service area
- Youth density
- Growth area
 - Shows where there are area plans from planning department, reflects changes in zoning to allow for more density
- Last Renovation
 - Scored by year of renovation
- Surfacing material
 - Scored by safety of material



Failing Playgrounds Task Force
sfrecpark.org/failing-playgrounds-2012-bond

In partnership with



- Tableau applies criteria as filters, so that if you apply two filters you get the only the CPAs that meet both
- Analysis Team will work on weighted analyses, in which each criterion is given a relative weight and then the scores are adjusted and tabulated.

AGENDA ITEM 3: Refinement of Criteria

Discussion of Additional Criteria or Refinements to Existing Criteria

- Play value is too subjective for Tableau, maybe be a tie- breaker at the end of the process
- Has to be agreement about what we are measuring when attempting to measure play value

Public Comment

AGENDA ITEM 4: Applying Criteria to Create Tiered Lists

Approach to Applying Criteria: Weighting vs. Sequencing

- How do want to explain this to SF? Might affect how to do prioritization

Small group exercise: what set of weighted criteria or sequence of criteria would you use to create a tiered list of prioritized projects?

- 4 Break out groups (3 task force and 1 public) and come back with two tiers
 - Group results:
 1. Started out with ROSE high needs, factored in report card and youth density - Buchanan malls kept popping up
 2. Started with report card, then added CCA pressure treated wood, then sites not in close proximity to other play areas, then low income and then youth density
 3. Started with CCA wood, then added income level then youth density, and would have added Report Card info with enough time and geographic balance
 4. Members of public group: Started with CCA wood and condition of playgrounds
- Hard to “unpack” ROSE high needs criteria-so many factors go into definition
 - Group would like to see demographic score reflecting youth density, high income, youth growth

AGENDA ITEM 5: Next Steps

- Analytical team will create more refined alternative lists based on the priorities set by the small groups and the task force
- Analytical team will add information to Tableau as it is prepared and inform members when it is posted.

Task Force Members in Attendance:

Katie Albright, Executive Director, San Francisco Child Abuse Prevention Center

Chelsea Boilard, Director of Programs, Coleman Advocates

Julia Brashares, Director of Street Parks, SF Parks Alliance

Alejandra Chiesa, Project Manager - Parks for People, Trust for Public Land

Rob Connolly, President, Boys & Girls Club of San Francisco

Dawn Kamalanathan, Director of Planning & Capital Management, SF Recreation & Park Department

Eric McDonnell, Commissioner, Recreation and Parks Commission

Albert Ko, Executive Vice President, SF Parent Teacher Association

Michelle Parker, VP Communications, SF Parent Teacher Association

Mario Paz, Executive Director, Good Samaritan Family Resource Center

Mark Scheuer, D8 Representative, PROSAC

Task Force Members not in Attendance:

Anthony Cuadro, D7 Representative, PROSAC

Lee Anne Weldon, Council Member, Policy Council, SF Parks Alliance

Doreen Pacini, Youth Representative, GreenAgers